# Modeling and Solving Staff Scheduling with Partial Weighted maxSAT

Emir Demirović · Nysret Musliu · Felix Winter\*

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Employee scheduling is a well known problem that appears in a wide range of different areas including health care, air lines, transportation services and basically any organization that has to deal with workforces. In this paper we model a collection of challenging staff scheduling instances as a weighted partial boolean maximum satisfiability (maxSAT) problem. Using our formulation we conduct a comparison of four different cardinality constraint encodings and analyze their applicability on this problem. Additionally we measure the performance of two leading solvers from the maxSAT evaluation 2015 in a series of benchmark experiments and compare their results to state of the art solutions. In the process we also generate a number of challenging maxSAT instances that are publicly available and can be used as benchmarks for the development and verification of modern SAT solvers.

**Keywords** Employee Scheduling  $\cdot$  maxSAT  $\cdot$  SAT encodings  $\cdot$  cardinality constraints

#### 1 Introduction

Staff scheduling problems arise whenever there is the need for efficient management and distribution of workforce over periods of time. Therefore a wide range of different institutions can benefit from an optimized staff schedule, including hospitals, airlines, security personnel, transportation services and basically any organization that has to deal with a large number of employees. Finding the ideal workforce roster however is not an easy task, and the general problem belongs to the class of NP-hard problems.

Vienna University of Technology Database and Artificial Intelligence group E-mail: {demirovic,musliu,winter}@dbai.tuwien.ac.at

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  corresponding author

A variety of different staff scheduling problems are described in the literature and many solving methods have been proposed in the past. Corresponding surveys regarding employee scheduling can be found in [11] and [3]. While a lot of approaches are based on mathematical programming and heuristic methods, the application of solution strategies which model the problem as a maximum propositional boolean satisfiability problem (maxSAT) has not been considered. The intuitive way of working with propositional formulas, as well as growing developments in the SAT community motivate the investigation of such an approach.

In this paper we concentrate on the employee scheduling instances introduced in [9]. According to the authors those instances were designed to describe realistic and challenging staff scheduling problems while still being straightforward to use. The included scheduling periods range from one week up to one year, requiring up to 180 employees and 32 shift types to be assigned.

Recent publications provided an integer programming (IP) model as well as a metaheuristic approach to this problem. The best known solutions using these techniques as well as a description of the IP formulation can be found in [9]. A detailed description of the used algorithms, namely a branch and price method and a metaheuristic based on ejection chains can be found in [7] and [8]. With the use of this branch and price algorithm and Gurobi [12], lower and upper bounds could be determined for a large part of the instances and therefore optimal solutions are known for most of the smaller instances. Although the metaheuristic solver is able to produce results also for the bigger instances, the optimal solutions for many instances are still unknown.

In this paper we want to investigate a new solving paradigm for this problem based on maxSAT. Modeling a problem with a maximum propositional satisfiability formulation has shown to perform well on a variety of different applications in the past, including the scheduling of B2B meetings [6] and High School Timetabling [10]. However, to the best of our knowledge, such an approach has not been considered for the employee scheduling problem.

The main contributions of this paper are:

- We provide the first maxSAT formulation for the variant of the employee scheduling problem introduced in [9].
- We experiment with different encodings for cardinality constraints and compare two of the winning solvers from the maxSAT evaluation 2015.
   Additionally, we experiment with a simplification of the problem and provide a comparison with the state of the art solutions.
- We provide challenging problems which can be used by the maxSAT community to test and improve results of maxSAT solvers.

In Section 2 we first give a problem description to provide a deeper insight into the problem. After providing a brief introduction into the maximum proposition satisfiability problem (maxSAT) in Section 3, we give the details of our maxSAT model in Section 4. In Section 5 we then present our experimental environment as well as the experiments which have been conducted.

At the end of the paper in Section 6 we make final conclusions and provide an outlook on future work.

### 2 Problem description

In our work we deal with a variant of the employee scheduling problem as it is described in [9]. We chose to focus on this specific problem formulation as it provides a number instances that include challenging and realistic scheduling problems, while still being intuitive and straightforward to use.

The overall goal is to find an optimal roster for a number of given employees and shift types, where every employee may either work a single shift or have a day off on each day of a given scheduling period. For this problem the scheduling period is stated as a number of weeks and therefore the number of days is always a multitude of seven. Another property concerning the scheduling horizon ensures that the first day of the roster is always a Monday, while the last day is always a Sunday. The employees and shift types which are considered in this problem, are specified by a list of unique names which are connected with a number of constraints that restrict all possible shift assignments. Some employees might for example be only allowed to work in certain shifts and patterns of consecutive working shifts might be prohibited or requested. Each problem instance specifies hard- and soft-constraints to set up a corresponding rule set. Hard constraints on the one hand are always strict and have to be fulfilled in order to generate a feasible solution. Soft constraints on the other hand may be violated, but will in case of a violation lead to an integer valued penalty. For example a hard constraint in our problem could restrict the minimum and maximum amount of time that an employee has to work in total over the whole scheduling horizon. Personal shift requests that employees can state are formulated as soft constraints in our problem instances.

Finally the objective function of a candidate solution is defined as the sum of all violated soft constraints. We therefore deal with an optimization problem, where the optimal solution is the schedule with the lowest possible objective value. We have a deeper look on all of the constraints in section 4, where we also introduce our maxSAT formulation for the problem.

### 3 Maximum Propositional Boolean Satisfiability Problem

The Satisfiability problem (SAT) is a decision problem which asks whether there exist assignments of truth values to variables, such that a propositional logic formula is evaluated true (that is, the formula is satisfied). A propositional logic formula is built from boolean variables using three operators ( $\land$  AND,  $\lor$  OR, and  $\neg$  NOT) and parentheses. The formula is usually given in Conjuctive Normal Form (CNF), meaning that the formula is a conjunction of clauses, where a clause is a disjunction of literals, where a literal is a variable or its negation. For example, the formula  $(X_1 \lor X_2) \land (\neg X_1 \lor \neg X_3)$  is said to be

satisfiable, because the assignment  $(X_1, X_2, X_3) = (true, false, false)$  satisfies the formula. However, had we inserted the clause  $(\neg X_1 \lor X_2 \lor X_3)$ , the same assignment would no longer satisfy the formula.

An extension to SAT that we consider in this work is Partial Weighted maxSAT, in which clauses are partitioned into two types: hard and soft clauses. Each soft clause is given a weight. The goal is to find an assignment which satisfies the hard clauses and minimizes the sum of weights of the unsatisfied soft clauses. For more in depth information about SAT and maxSAT, we direct the interested reader to [5].

### 4 Modeling Employee Scheduling as Partial Weighted maxSAT

In our formulation in order to model the assignment of shifts to employees we define variables  $S_{i,d,t} \quad \forall i \in I, d \in D, t \in T$ , where I denotes the set of all employees, D refers to the set of days in the planning horizon and T is the set of all shift types in the problem. Each variable  $S_{i,d,t}$  will be set to true if and only if employee i gets the shift type t assigned on the d-th day in the roster, otherwise it will be set to false. Additionally we define helper variables  $X_{i,d} \quad \forall i \in I, d \in D$  which are set to true if employee i has no shift assigned on day d. So  $X_{i,d}$  is set to true if and only if employee i is considered to have a day off on this day.

To connect the X variables with the decision variables S we include the following equivalences in our formulation:

$$X_{i,d} \leftrightarrow \bigwedge_{t \in T} \neg S_{i,d,t} \qquad \forall i \in I, d \in D$$
 (1)

In the following sections we give a description of all the constraints in our employee scheduling problem and additionally specify how each of them is encoded in our partial weighted maxSAT formulation. Clauses which are generated from soft constraints will also have weights assigned.

Since many of the constraints contain properties of cardinality constraints, we continue by shortly introducing the notion of them.

# 4.1 Cardinality Constraints

In order to be able to formulate all of the constraints for the problem, it is necessary to make use of cardinality constraints. Such constraints define limits on the number of truth assignments on a set of given boolean variables. There are three different types of cardinality constraints:  $atLeast_k(x_i:x_i\in X)$ ,  $exactly_k(x_i:x_i\in X)$ , and  $atMost_k(x_i:x_i\in X)$  which are defined on sets of variables that should have at least, exactly or at most k variables having their truth value assigned. For example if a cardinality constraint limits the number of true valued variables of the set  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  to at most two  $atMost_2(\{x_1, x_2, x_3\})$ , the assignment  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, 1, 0)$  is considered to

be feasible, while the assignment  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, 1, 1)$  would be considered as infeasible.

Additionally we have to distinguish between hard- and soft cardinality constraints. While hard cardinality constraints decide whether or not the overall solution will become feasible, soft cardinality constraints will only penalize the objective function if violated. In our problem we assign a weight to a cardinality constraint and calculate the total penalty linearly depending on the difference to the violated limit. For example if we consider the constraint  $atLeast_2(\{x_1, x_2, x_3\})$  with a weight of 40, the assignment  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (0,0,0)$  would lead to a penalty of  $40 \cdot 2 = 80$ .

Different variants for cardinality constraints as boolean satisfiability problems have been studied in the literature ([15],[2]). In this paper we investigate four different encoding types: combinatorial encoding, sequential encoding, cardinality networks, and bit adder encoding. In the experiments covered in later sections of this paper we compare the performance of the different encodings on two leading maxSAT solvers.

### 4.2 Modeling of Hard Constraints

An employee cannot be assigned more than one shift on a single day. Since no employee should work two shifts on the same day, we have to ensure that no two variables  $S_{i,d,t}$  and  $S_{i,d,x}$  may be set to true at the same time if  $t \neq x$  and  $i \in I$ ,  $d \in D$ ,  $t, x \in T$  where I is the set of all employees, D is the set of all days in the scheduling horizon and T is the set of all possible shift types.

We model this constraint with an  $atMost_1$  cardinality constraint.

$$atMost_1(\{S_{i,d,1}, S_{i,d,2}, ..., S_{i,d,|T|}\}) \quad \forall i \in I, d \in D$$
 (2)

Sequences of disallowed shifts. It is required that each employee needs to rest for a minimum amount of time after he has worked in a shift. The length of the necessary rest period varies for each shift type. Because each shift has fixed starting and ending times during the day, the set of shift types that cannot follow a certain shift type t can be determined easily by considering all pairs of shift types and comparing their difference in start and ending times with the minimum rest time. We refer to the set of all shift types that are not allowed to follow a shift t as  $R_t$ .

The constraints can therefore also be thought of as a number of disallowed shift sequences which consist of two consecutive shifts and can be included in our formulation by inserting a clause for each sequence.

$$\bigwedge_{d=1}^{|D|-1} (S_{i,d,t} \to \neg S_{i,d+1,x}) \qquad \forall t \in T, x \in R_t$$
 (3)

The maximum numbers of shifts for each type that can be assigned to an employee. In our problem some of the employees can have contracts which only allow them to work in specific shift types for a maximum number of days. For example such a limit could restrict the number of night shifts an employee may work during the schedule to four, making any roster which assigns five night shifts to a single employee infeasible. The maximum numbers for each employee and shift type are given as parameters  $m_{it}^{max}$  with the problem instances, where  $i \in I$  and  $t \in T$ .

Since this constraint can be seen as the basic case for a cardinality constraint, we do not discuss the detailed encoding into boolean satisfiability clauses here, but simply state it as an atMost cardinality constraint instead:

$$atMost_{m_{i,t}^{max}}(\{S_{i,1,t}, S_{i,2,t}, ..., S_{i,h,t}\}) \quad \forall i \in I, t \in T$$
 (4)

Minimum and maximum working time. Each shift type assigns a certain amount of working time in minutes to its associated employees. Moreover the total number of the working time in minutes is restricted for each employee and must lie between a minimum and maximum bound. Those limits are given to the problem in form of the parameters  $b_i^{min}$  and  $b_i^{max}$  for each  $i \in I$ .

In order to formulate this constraint efficiently, we introduce additional helper variables which help us to count the total number of minutes worked by an employee. For their definition we consider the shift lengths  $l_t \quad \forall t \in T$  which are given as parameters to the problem and specify the number of working time in minutes required for shift t. Furthermore we define their greatest common divisor  $g = \gcd(l_t: t \in T)$ . If we have three different shift types, with the first one lasting for 480, the second one lasting 620, and the third one lasting 120 minutes, g would then be 20 for example.

With g, we can then calculate simplified lengths for all shifts  $sl_t = \frac{l_t}{g}$   $\forall t \in T$ . Additionally we define the maximum simplified shift length  $sl_{max} = max\{sl_t : t \in T\}$ . Now we are able to introduce our variable set U, which counts the units of time an employee i works on day d.

For each employee and day, we introduce helper variables  $U_{i,d,x} \quad \forall i \in I, d \in D, x \in 1, ..., sl_{max}$  and set up a number of equivalences in order to correctly connect them with our decision variables S:

$$S_{i,d,t} \leftrightarrow \bigwedge_{x=1}^{sl_t} U_{i,d,x} \bigwedge_{y=sl_t}^{sl_{max}} \neg U_{i,d,y}$$
 (5)

All of the U variables can now be used to count the overall units of time an employee works and we can use them in order to set up two cardinality constraints that ensure the minimum and maximum working time constraint. Note that since we are using simplified lengths, we also have to divide the given limits by the common divisor g and round appropriately:

$$atMost_{\lfloor b_i^{max}/g \rfloor}(\{U_{i,d,x}|d \in D, x \in \{1, ..., sl_{max}\}\}) \quad \forall i \in I$$
 (6)

$$atLeast_{\lceil b^{min}/q \rceil}(\{U_{i,d,x}|d \in D, x \in \{1, ..., sl_{max}\}\}) \quad \forall i \in I$$
 (7)

Maximum consecutive shifts. Each employee is only allowed to work for a maximum number of consecutive days before he must have a day off. This maximum limit is given to the problem as  $c_i^{max}$  for each  $i \in I$ . In our formulation we state this constraint by introducing clauses that require a day off during all possible sequences of length  $c_i^{max}$ . Since this constraint assumes that the last day before the scheduling horizon sets a day off and the first day after the scheduling horizon also sets a day off, we do not need to consider any corner cases.

$$\bigvee_{x=0}^{c_i^{max}} X_{i,d+x} \qquad \forall i \in I, d \in \{1, ..., |D| - c_i^{max}\}$$
 (8)

Minimum consecutive shifts. Our problem requires that each employee works at least for a minimum of consecutive days. In other words there is a minimum for the number of consecutive shifts, which is given as parameter  $c_i^{min}$  for all  $i \in I$ , before an employee is allowed to have a day off.

Again we do not have to consider corner cases, since this constraint always assumes an infinite number of consecutive working days before and after the scheduling horizon. For all the other cases, we formulate this constraint by implicating the minimum length shift sequence whenever a new shift sequence starts after a day off:

$$(X_{i,d} \land \neg X_{i,d+1}) \to (\bigwedge_{x=2}^{c_i^{min}} \neg X_{i,j+x}) \qquad \forall i \in I, d \in \{1, ..., |D| - 3\}$$
 (9)

Minimum consecutive days off. This can be formulated similarly to the minimum consecutive shifts constraint. No corner cases have to be considered, as this constraint assumes an infinite sequence of days off before and after the scheduling horizon. The minimum limit of consecutive days off is given to the problem as parameter  $o_i^{min}$  for each employee  $i \in I$ .

We again use a formulation variant which applies an implication of a minimum length day off sequence, similar as described for the minimum consecutive shifts constraint which we described previously:

$$(\neg X_{i,d} \land X_{i,d+1}) \to (\bigwedge_{x=2}^{o_i^{min}} X_{i,d+x}) \qquad \forall i \in I, d \in \{1, ..., |D| - 3\}$$
 (10)

Maximum number of weekends. Whenever an employee has to work a shift on a Saturday or a Sunday in the schedule, the corresponding weekend is considered as a working weekend for this employee. The problem restricts the number of such working weekends for each employee i as parameter  $a_i^{max}$ . Because the scheduling always starts on Monday and ends on Sunday, the number of weekends can be easily calculated as  $w = \frac{|D|}{7}$ . We can now introduce

additional helper variables  $W_{i,x}$  to state if an employee i works on the x-th weekend. We introduce the following equivalences to connect the W variables with the existing X variables in our formulation. Note that the x variables are multiplied with 7 in order to determine the day index of the x-th Sunday.

$$W_{i,x} \leftrightarrow (\neg X_{i,(x\cdot7)-1} \lor \neg X_{i,x\cdot7}) \qquad \forall i \in I, x \in \{1, ..., w\}$$
 (11)

With the help of those variables we can then construct the following cardinality constraints to formulate the maximum number of weekends constraint:

$$atMost_{a^{max}}(\{W_{i,1}, W_{i,2}, ..., W_{i,w}\}) \quad \forall i \in I$$
 (12)

Days off. An employee may have certain days on which it is strictly required that he has a day off. Those are given to the problem as sets of day indices  $N_i$  for each employee i. We can introduce this in our formulation by simply generating the corresponding unit clauses:

$$X_{i,d} \quad \forall i \in I, d \in N_i$$
 (13)

### 4.3 Modeling of Soft Constraints

Requested shift types. Each employee may have some days where a certain shift type is requested for them to work in. Since this is not a hard constraint, a violation will be penalized with a given weight. The corresponding penalties are given to the problem as parameters  $q_{i,d,t}$ , where  $i \in I$ ,  $d \in D$  and  $t \in T$ . We handle this constraint by inserting simple weighted unit clauses for all shift requests into our formulation:

$$S_{i,d,t} \cdot q_{i,d,t} \quad \forall (i,d,t) \quad where \quad \exists q_{i,d,t}$$
 (14)

Unpreferred shift types. Similar to the requested shifts constraint, our problem may contain requests that require an employee to not work a particular shift on a certain day. Our formulation is again based on weighted unit clauses depending on problem parameters  $p_{i,d,t}$  that set the weight of an unpreferred shift, where  $i \in I$ ,  $d \in D$  and  $t \in T$ :

$$\neg S_{i,d,t} \cdot p_{i,d,t} \qquad \forall (i,d,t) \quad where \quad \exists p_{i,d,t}$$
 (15)

Cover requirements. A preferred number of employees that should be working in a shift type is defined for each day. This preferred value of working employees for shift t on day d is given to the problem in form of parameters  $u_{dt}$  for all  $d \in D$  and  $t \in T$ . Furthermore for each of these values two penalty parameters  $v_{dt}^{min}$  and  $v_{dt}^{max}$  are used to penalize a possible under- or over-coverage of the preferred value.

We introduce two cardinality constraints per cover requirement to formulate this constraint. One for the over-coverage, which is penalized linearly

depending on the weight  $v_{dt}^{max}$ , and the second one for the under-coverage also penalized linearly depending on the weight  $v_{dt}^{min}$ :

$$atMost_{u_{dt}}(\{S_{1,d,t}, S_{2,d,t}, ..., S_{|I|,d,t}\}) \cdot v_{dt}^{max} \quad \forall d \in D, t \in T$$
 (16)

$$atLeast_{u_{dt}}(\{S_{1,d,t}; S_{2,d,t}; ...; S_{|I|,d,t}\}) \cdot v_{dt}^{min} \quad \forall d \in D, t \in T$$
 (17)

# 5 Computational Results

We now give an overview of our experimental environment and describe how our benchmark tests were executed and evaluated.

### 5.1 Experimental environment

We conducted a large number of experiments with generated maxSAT encodings for the 24 instances described in [9]. The planning horizon of the instances ranges from two weeks to 52 weeks, while the number of considered employees ranges from 8 to 150. As this dataset contains very large instances it provides challenging benchmarks for solution techniques. If not noted otherwise we ran all of our experiments on an Intel Xeon E5345 2.33GHz machine with a total of 48GB RAM. The encoded maxSAT instances are available online in DIMACS format and can be downloaded at  $^{1}$ .

In our benchmarks we used two solvers which were winning in the maxSAT evaluation 2015: WPM3 [1] and Optiriss using the default configuration. The latter uses the riss framework [13] in combination with the publicly available OpenWBO solver [14]. Both solvers were ranked first and second in the industrial category for partial weighted maxSAT problems. Besides being the leaders in their category, both solvers have also shown to provide good results for high school timetabling and timetabling instances, which share similarities with the considered employee scheduling problem.

## 5.2 Comparison of different cardinality constraint encodings

Because our model utilizes a number of cardinality constraints, a crucial point in the configuration of our experiments turned out to be the determination of which cardinality constraint encodings we should use in order to get good results with the maxSAT solvers. There are five constraints which are affected in our formulation: The cover requirement constraint, the workload requirement constraint, the maximum number of shifts constraint, the maximum number of weekends, and the One shift per day constraint. For those, we applied four different encoding variants: combinatorial encoding, sequential encoding, cardinality networks encoding, and bit adder encoding. We used the implementation for encoding those constraints from [10].

 $<sup>^{1} \</sup>rm http://www.dbai.tuwien.ac.at/research/project/arte/maxsat\_employeescheduling/$ 

If we would consider all possible combinations for encoding the cardinality constraints in our model, we would have to generate and compare a total of  $4\cdot 4\cdot 4\cdot 4=1024$  different formulations for each problem instance. In order to reduce this large amount of possibilities, we decided to investigate the number of generated variables and clauses for all constraint/encoding pairs in order to gather a first insight on their importance. We can see the results for one instance in Table 1.

**Table 1** Overview on the number of generated variables (vars.) as well as the hard- and soft-clauses (h.c. and s.c.) for all the cardinality constraint/encoding pairs for instance 5.

		Combinatorial	Sequential	Cardinality N.	Bit adders
	vars.	10192	7616	7056	5096
Cover Req.	h.c.	35616	28672	21168	17808
	s.c.	896	896	896	896
Workload Req.	vars.	Out of memory	6176	8032	5088
	h.c.	Out of memory	20240	21760	14864
	s.c.	Out of memory	0	0	0
	vars.	Out of memory	3010	3520	6374
Max shifts	h.c.	Out of memory	11928	10658	22646
	s.c.	Out of memory	0	0	0
	vars.	0	124	160	176
Max weekends	h.c.	46	420	496	602
	s.c.	0	0	0	0
One shift per day	vars.	0	896	896	1344
	h.c.	448	2688	3136	4480
	s.c.	0	0	0	0

The combinatorial encoding turned out to be impractical in most cases and we were often not able to generate maxSAT encodings for many of the instances when using it. The huge amount of produced clauses required by this encoding forced our model generator to run out of memory when dealing with larger instances. When looking at the numbers displayed in Table 1, we can also see that the maximum number of weekends and the one shift per day constraints have a relatively low impact when compared with the other constraints. As this behaviour appeared also in other instances, we decided to use only the sequential encoding for the maximum number of weekends constraint and only the combinatorial encoding for the one shift per day constraint in the remainder of our experiments. With the elimination of the combinatorial encoding in our configuration options because of the caused inconveniences with larger instances, and only three constraints remaining, we now have to examine only  $3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = 27$  possible combinations.

In order to determine the best configuration for both WPM3 and Optiriss, we selected nine instances of different sizes and ran experiments with all the 27 possible encoding variants under a time limit of 30 minutes. The results of those experiments can be seen in Table 2 and Table 3 for Optiriss and WPM3 respectively.

A comparison of those results reveals that there is no general best combination of cardinality constraint encodings and good encodings are highly dependent on the solver which is used. While Optiriss prefers the adder en-

Table 2 Best results found by Optiriss using different combinations of cardinality encodings. The first column describes the cardinality encodings used for the  $cover\ require-ment/workload\ requirement/maximum\ number\ of\ shifts\ constraints.$  Encoding names have been abbreviated: seq. = sequential encoding, card. = cardinality networks, adder = bit adders. In each column the best result is formatted in boldface.

Optiriss			ınd in 30 n		
Cardinality encoding	Inst. 2	Inst. 4	Inst. 7	Inst. 9	Inst. 11
seq./seq./seq.	837	5626	13333	12655	40435
seq./seq./card.	837	5626	12000	11659	40435
seq./seq./adder	839	5122	10078	11533	23720
seq./card./seq.	840	6002	15318	12460	32768
seq./card./card.	840	6002	12111	12242	32768
seq./card./adder	838	5215	11474	12758	24905
seq./adder/seq.	841	5407	14319	11044	34612
seq./adder/card.	841	5407	15148	11662	34612
seq./adder/adder	840	5331	11785	12752	25633
card./seq./seq.	841	5609	13813	14353	32281
card./seq./card.	841	5609	15211	11423	32281
card./seq./adder	834	5723	11987	13250	25631
card./card./seq.	834	6210	14080	12156	37028
card./card./card.	834	6210	13779	13154	37028
card./card./adder	841	5316	10682	10641	22130
			13002	12570	32618
card./adder/seq.	837 837	5711 5711	13002	12570	32618
card./adder/card.					
card./adder/adder	838	5504	9689	12976	24844
adder/seq./seq.	844	3900	5762	7729	15916
adder/seq./card.	844	3900	5741	7526	15916
adder/seq./adder	852	3720	5228	7437	16624
adder/card./seq.	853	3608	5421	6394	15420
adder/card./card.	853	3608	5852	6804	15420
adder/card./adder	847	3918	5452	7239	16464
adder/adder/seq.	845	3907	5411	7716	16627
adder/adder/card.	845	3907	5746	7422	16627
adder/adder/adder	850	3798	5040	7215	16436
Optiriss	Best so	olutions for	ınd in 30 n	ninutes tim	
Optiriss Cardinality encoding	Best so Inst. 12	lutions for Inst. 14	ind in 30 n Inst. 16	ninutes tim Inst. 18	
Optiriss Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680	Inst. 14	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16	inutes tim Inst. 18 35073	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding  seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369	Inst. 14 17959 16665	Inst. 16 15584 15584	Inst. 18 35073 39555	
Optiriss Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964	Inst. 14	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263	Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding  seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369	Inst. 14 17959 16665	Inst. 16 15584 15584	Inst. 18 35073 39555	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138	17959 16665 17549 16630	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263	inutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761	Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635	inutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138	17959 16665 17549 16630	Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 15635	inutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card. seq./card./adder	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939	Dutions for Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 15635 14544	inutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229	Dutions for Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 15635 14544 16013	inutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./card. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/adder	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854	Dutions for Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 15635 14544 16013	inutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 28074	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./card. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 13937	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{ninutes tim} \\ \textbf{Inst. 18} \\ 35073 \\ 39555 \\ 25829 \\ 37084 \\ 37641 \\ 23932 \\ 34284 \\ 28074 \\ 27604 \end{array}$	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 15635 14544 16013 16013	inutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 28074 27604 37501	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./and. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16670 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 13937 16093 14776	inutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 28074 27604 37501 38147 28188	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq./card. card./seq./card.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16660 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 19044	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 13937 16093 14776 16993	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 28074 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. card./seq./card. card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./seq./card. card./seq./card. card./seq./adder card./card./seq. card./card./seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279 50404	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 19044	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 16093 16093 14776 16903 16903	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 28074 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. card./seq./seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./card./card.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279 50404 32239	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 15044 15546 16918	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 16093 14776 16903 16903 14880	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778 35638 26855	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./seq./adder card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279 50404 32239 62154	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 19044 15546 16918	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 16093 14093 14776 16903 14880 17419	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 28074 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778 35638 26855 38269	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./card./card. card./card./seq. card./card./seq. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279 50404 32239 62154 49096	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 19044 15546 16918 18980	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 16093 14776 16993 14776 16903 14880 17419	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 28074 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778 35638 26855 38269 30601	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./add. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./card./seq. card./card./seq. card./card./card. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/card. card./adder/card. card./adder/card. card./adder/card.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279 50404 32239 62154 49096 33340	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 19044 15546 16918 18980 18565	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 13937 16093 14776 16903 14903 14903 14903 1480 17419 17419	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 28074 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778 35638 26855 38269 30601 29781	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./card. card./seq./card. card./seq./adder card./card./seq. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/card. card./adder/adder adder/seq./seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279 50404 32239 62154 49096 33340 28602	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 15546 16918 18980 18565 18593 10076	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 16093 16093 14776 16903 14880 17419 17419 15990 12546	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{ninutes tim} \\ \textbf{Inst. 18} \\ 35073 \\ 39555 \\ 25829 \\ 37084 \\ 37641 \\ 23932 \\ 34284 \\ 28074 \\ 27604 \\ 37501 \\ 38147 \\ 28188 \\ 37778 \\ 35638 \\ 26855 \\ 38269 \\ 30601 \\ 29781 \\ 21039 \\ \end{array}$	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/ard. seq./adder/ard. seq./adder/ard. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./seq./adder card./card./seq. card./card./seq. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/seq. card./adder/adder adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279 50404 32239 62154 49096 33340 28602 31000	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 15546 16918 18980 18565 18565 18593 10076	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 16093 14776 16903 14776 16903 14749 17419 17419 175990 12546	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778 35638 26855 38269 30601 29781 21039 22548	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/card. dader/seq. card./adder/card. card./adder/card. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/adder adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./card.	Best so Inst. 12  57680  58369  34964  57575  54138  33939  61229  52854  36632  72062  49699  32074  56279  50404  32239  62154  49096  33340  28602  31000  28694	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 19044 15546 16918 18980 18565 18593 10076 9875	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 16093 14776 16903 14903 14903 14903 14903 14903 14903 14903 14903 14903 14903 14903 14903 14903 14903 14904 17419 17419 15990 12546 12546 12546	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 28074 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778 35638 26855 38269 30601 29781 21039 22548 21095	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./adder card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/card. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279 50404 32239 62154 49096 33340 28602 31000 28694 28598	lutions for Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 19044 15546 16918 18980 18565 18593 10076 9875 8777	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 16093 14776 16903 14993 14776 16903 14880 17419 15990 12546 12546 12223 13026	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 28074 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778 35638 26855 38269 30601 29781 21039 22548 21095 20710	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./card./seq. card./card./seq. card./card./card. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder adder/card./seq. adder/card./seq.	Best so Inst. 12  57680  58369  34964  57575  54138  33939  61229  52854  36632  72062  49699  32074  56279  50404  32239  62154  49096  33340  28602  31000  28694  28598  30324	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 19044 15546 16918 18980 18565 18593 10076 9875 8777 9776	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 16093 14776 16903 14776 16903 14776 16903 14749 17419 15990 12546 12546 12223 13026	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 28074 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778 35638 26855 38269 30601 29781 21039 22548 21095 20710 20225	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./seq./adder card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./adder adder/card./adder adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder adder/card./seq. adder/card./seq. adder/card./seq. adder/card./seq. adder/card./seq. adder/card./card.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279 50404 32239 62154 49096 33340 28602 31000 28694 28598 30324 29596	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 15546 16918 18980 18565 18593 10076 9875 8777 9776 9144	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 13937 16093 14776 16993 14993 14880 17419 17419 15990 12546 12223 13026 13026 13049	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778 35638 26855 38269 30601 29781 21039 22548 21095 20710 20225 20280	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. card./seq./seq. card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./card./seq. card./card./card. card./card./card. card./adder/card. adder/seq. card./adder/adder adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./card. adder/card./seq. adder/card./seq. adder/card./seq. adder/card./seq. adder/card./adder adder/card./adder adder/card./adder	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279 50404 32239 62154 49096 33340 28602 31000 28694 28598 30324 29596 27193	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 15546 16918 18980 18565 18565 18593 10076 9875 8777 9776 9144 9555	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 16093 14776 16993 14776 16993 14749 17419 17419 175990 12546 12223 13026 13026 13049 11939	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778 35638 26855 38269 30601 29781 21039 22548 21095 20710 20225 20280 20462	
Optiriss  Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./adder seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/adder card./seq./adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/card. card./adder/card. card./adder/adder adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder adder/card./card. adder/card./seq. adder/card./seq. adder/card./seq. adder/card./card.	Best so Inst. 12 57680 58369 34964 57575 54138 33939 61229 52854 36632 72062 49699 32074 56279 50404 32239 62154 49096 33340 28602 31000 28694 28598 30324 29596	Inst. 14 17959 16665 17549 16761 16630 18362 17454 16043 15555 19358 18247 17934 15546 16918 18980 18565 18593 10076 9875 8777 9776 9144	Ind in 30 n Inst. 16 15584 15584 15584 13263 15635 14544 16013 16013 13937 16093 14776 16993 14993 14880 17419 17419 15990 12546 12223 13026 13026 13049	ninutes tim Inst. 18 35073 39555 25829 37084 37641 23932 34284 27604 37501 38147 28188 37778 35638 26855 38269 30601 29781 21039 22548 21095 20710 20225 20280	

Table 3 Best results found by WPM3 using different combinations of cardinality encodings. The first column describes the cardinality encodings used for the  $cover\ requirement/workload\ requirement/maximum\ number\ of\ shifts\ constraints.$  Encoding names have been abbreviated: seq. = sequential encoding, card. = cardinality networks, adder = bit adders. In each column the best result is formatted in boldface.

WPM3	Best s	olution fou	nd in 30 m	inutes tim	e limit
Cardinality encoding	Inst. 2	Inst. 4	Inst. 7	Inst. 9	Inst. 11
seq./seq./seq.	828	3189	5510	10631	12183
seq./seq./card.	828	3189	4596	10949	12183
seq./seq./adder	828	3494	8959	10248	23420
seq./card./seq.	828	3090	7446	11132	11516
seq./card./card.	828	3090	6545	11405	11516
seq./card./adder	828	2688	8351	12154	24114
seq./adder/seq.	828	2784	7712	12178	12478
seq./adder/card.	828	2784	8553	10033	12478
seq./adder/adder	828	2893	9364	10964	24195
card./seq./seq.	835	3394	5230	10605	17224
card./seq./card.	835	3394	6815	11037	17224
card./seq./adder	828	4082	7562	11062	25444
card./card./seq.	828	3087	7143	10240	13888
card./card./card.	828	3087	8147	10942	13888
card./card./adder	839	3704	9670	10531	25626
card./adder/seq.	840	3695	7543	11871	15393
card./adder/card.	840	3695	7760	11235	15393
card./adder/adder	828	3103	9287	12374	22719
adder/seq./seq.	1550	3718	10502	13982	29673
adder/seq./card.	1550	3718	11315	12780	29673
adder/seg./adder	1159	3198	9478	14674	26133
adder/card./seq.	1563	3994	9365	11256	31595
adder/card./card.	1563	3994	9253	12773	31595
adder/card./adder	856	4212	9791	12693	25827
adder/adder/seq.	1469	4108	10292	10771	29083
adder/adder/card.	1469	4108	9476	11963	29083
adder/adder	1359	3702	10100	10935	26467
WPM3	Best s		nd in 30 m		e limit
WPM3 Cardinality encoding	Best s Inst. 12				e limit
		olution fou	nd in 30 m	inutes tim	e limit
Cardinality encoding	Inst. 12	olution fou Inst. 14	nd in 30 m	inutes tim Inst. 18	e limit
Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq.	Inst. 12 23937	olution fou Inst. 14	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292	Inst. 18	e limit
Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292	Inst. 18 19771 18498	e limit
Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297	Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738	Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408	e limit
Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419	Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191	e limit
Cardinality encoding seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card.	23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285	Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./seq. seq./card./der seq./card./card.	23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./seq. seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./seq. seq./card./seq. seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card. seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150	Olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 15031 12015 12015	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 20793 21095 22605 29910	e limit
seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card. seq./card./dder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 15031 12015	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150	Olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 15031 12015 12015	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 20793 21095 22605 29910	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./ard. seq./seq./adder seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. card./seq./adder card./seq./card. card./seq./card. card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./card./card. card./card./card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 15031 12015 12015 14126 12848 12848 16176	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097 24417	e limit
Cardinality encoding  seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card. seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 12015 12015 12015 14126 12848	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./ard. seq./seq./adder seq./seq./adder seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./card./seq. card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/seq card./adder/seq card./adder/seq card./adder/seq card./adder/card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590 25331 26272	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864 14915 17055	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 15031 12015 12015 14126 12848 12848 16176	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097 24417	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card. seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./seq./card. card./card./adder card./card./seq. card./card./seq. card./card./adder card./card./adder card./adder/seq card./adder/card. card./adder/card. card./adder/card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590 25331	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864 14915	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 16099 12550 12550 15031 12015 14126 12848 16176 13360	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097 24417 24620	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./ard. seq./seq./adder seq./seq./adder seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./card./seq. card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/seq card./adder/seq card./adder/seq card./adder/seq card./adder/card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590 25331 26272	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864 14915 17055 18104 17490 18356	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 12550 12015 14015 12015 14126 12848 12848 16176 13360	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097 24417 24620 25051	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card. seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./seq./card. card./card./adder card./card./seq. card./card./seq. card./card./adder card./card./adder card./adder/seq card./adder/card. card./adder/card. card./adder/card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590 25331 26272 1697590	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864 14915 17055 18104 17490	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 12015 12015 14126 12848 12848 16176 13360 13360	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097 24417 24620 25051 30144	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. dader/seq./adder card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. dader/seq./seq. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590 25331 26272 1697590 48422 44948 38822	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864 14915 17055 18104 17490 18356	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 12015 12015 14126 12848 16176 13360 13360 16998 18064	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 229910 25567 21097 24417 24620 25051 30144 31426	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./ard. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./adder seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. card./seq./adder card./seq./card. card./seq./card. card./seq./card. card./seq./adder card./card./seq. card./card./seq. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./card./adder card./adder/seq card./adder/seq card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590 25331 26272 1697590 48422 44948	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864 14915 17055 18104 17490 18356	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 12015 12015 12015 14126 12848 12848 16176 13360 13360 16998 18064	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097 24417 24620 25051 30144 31426 29955	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. dader/seq./adder card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. dader/seq./seq. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590 25331 26272 1697590 48422 44948 38822	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864 14915 17055 18104 17490 18356 19731 18376	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 16099 12550 15031 12015 12015 14126 12848 16176 13360 13360 16998 18064 18064	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097 24417 24620 25051 30144 31426 29955 27336	e limit
Seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. card./seq./seq. card./seq./card. card./seq./card. card./card./seq. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. dader/seq./card. dader/seq./card. dader/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590 25331 26272 1697590 48422 44948 38822 42744	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864 17490 18356 19731 18376	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 16099 12550 12550 15031 12015 14126 12848 16176 13360 16998 18064 18064 16497	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097 24417 24620 25051 30144 31426 29955 27336 28860	e limit
seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./card. card./seq./card. card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./card. card./adder/card. dader/seq. card./adder/card. card./adder/card. dader/seq./card. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590 25331 26272 1697590 48422 44948 38822 42744 44272	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864 17490 18356 19731 18376 19131	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 16099 12550 12550 12550 12015 14126 12848 12848 16176 13360 16998 18064 18064 16497 16259 16259	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097 24417 24620 25051 30144 31426 29955 27336 28860 31694	e limit
seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./card. seq./seq./adder seq./seq./adder seq./card./seq. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/card. seq./adder/adder card./seq./card. card./seq./card. card./card./seq. card./card./card. card./card./adder card./card./adder card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. card./adder/seq. dader/seq./card. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./adder adder/seq./card. adder/seq./adder adder/card./card. adder/card./card.	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590 25331 26272 1697590 48422 44948 38822 42744 44272 37582	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 16330 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864 14915 17055 18104 17490 18356 19731 18376 19131 18959	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 12015 12015 12015 14126 12848 12848 16176 13360 13360 16998 18064 18064 16497 16259 16343	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097 24417 24620 25051 30144 31426 29955 27336 28860 31694 30929	e limit
seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./seq. seq./seq./ard. seq./seq./adder seq./seq./adder seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./card./card. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/seq. seq./adder/adder card./seq./adder card./seq./card. card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./seq./adder card./card./card. card./card./card. card./card./card. adder/seq. card./adder/seq card./adder/seq card./adder/adder adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./seq. adder/seq./card. adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder adder/seq./adder adder/card./seq. adder/card./seq. adder/card./adder adder/card./adder adder/card./adder adder/card./adder	Inst. 12 23937 18770 1697590 22010 19845 1697590 22536 22734 1697590 24142 23726 32150 24206 23716 1697590 25331 26272 1697590 48422 44948 38822 42744 44272 37582	olution fou Inst. 14 18045 16303 15297 15419 16285 16654 17130 15155 18272 18948 18455 16321 16864 14915 17055 18104 17490 18336 19731 18376 19131 18959 18494	nd in 30 m Inst. 16 10292 10292 12738 12528 12528 16099 12550 12550 12015 12015 12015 14126 12848 12848 16176 13360 13360 16998 18064 16497 16259 16259 16343 17590	inutes tim Inst. 18 19771 18498 21408 19191 19241 22100 17277 20139 20793 21095 22605 29910 25567 21097 24417 24620 25051 30144 31426 29955 27336 28860 31694 30929 31791	e limit

coding for the cover requirements constraint, the sequential encoding shows the best results for WPM3. We selected the best candidates for each solver by considering the sums of the results over all instances for each combination of cardinality encodings. The encodings which led to the minimum of all those sums were then taken to generate the instances for our final experiments. Therefore the combinations of cardinality constraint encodings used for Optiriss were as follows: bit adder encoding for the cover requirements constraint, cardinality networks for the workload requirements constraint, and the sequential encoding for the maximum number of shifts constraint. The combinations of cardinality constraint encodings for WPM3 on the other hand were: The sequential encoding for the cover requirements constraint, the workload requirements constraint, and the encoding which uses cardinality networks for the maximum number of shifts constraint.

### 5.3 Final experiments and comparison of solvers

By using the encodings mentioned above, we were able to create maxSAT instances for the original problems 1-22. Although our formulation can be used to encode Instances 23-24, unfortunately we could not generate maxSAT instances for those two problems, since our generator ran out of memory due to their large size (about 20 GB). Our final experiments were conducted using both solvers, giving them a time limit of four hours for each of the 22 instances. The results of those benchmark tests can be seen in Table 4.

If we compare the outcomes for WPM3 and Optiriss we are not able to point out a clear winner which performs better over all the instances. While WPM3 performs significantly better on the smaller instances (Instances 1-7 and 11-12), it does not produce good solutions for the larger instances (Instances 8-10 and 13-22). Using Optiriss provides better results when it comes to solving the larger instances, except for the last two instances where the solver could not find any solution under four hours.

Comparing our approach with another existing exact method based on integer programming, which was provided in [9] (last two columns in the table) we can conclude that both maxSAT solvers could not find new unknown optimal results. However they could provide optimal solutions for instances 1 and 2. Running the maxSAT solvers for four hours resulted in finding solutions for three of the instances which could not be solved by the integer programming approach within one hour on a different environment. Although the integer programming method could also possibly find those solutions within four hours, the results show that maxSAT as an exact method gives promising results for employee scheduling problems. As many maxSAT solvers are publicly available and their performance is consistently improving, this approach could be useful to find solutions for employee scheduling problems.

**Table 4** The final results obtained for Instance 1-22 using WPM3 and Optiriss, using the selected cardinality constraint encodings described in this paper. For comparison, the best known solutions using the exact methods described in [9] are also included. Results formatted in bold fonts denote proven optimal solutions.

Instance	WPM3	Optiriss	Branch and Price [9]	Gurobi [9]
Instance 1	607	607	607	607
Instance 2	828	835	828	828
Instance 3	1009	3475	1001	1001
Instance 4	3102	3608	1716	1716
Instance 5	4037	3645	1160	1143
Instance 6	6150	6941	1952	1950
Instance 7	4596	5421	1058	1056
Instance 8	11018	7617	1308	1323
Instance 9	10949	6394	439	439
Instance 10	16435	15350	4631	4631
Instance 11	12183	15420	3443	3443
Instance 12	18770	28598	4046	4040
Instance 13	6110163	69203	-	3109
Instance 14	16303	9776	-	1280
Instance 15	30833	16506	-	4964
Instance 16	10292	13026	3323	3233
Instance 17	22002	22073	-	5851
Instance 18	18498	14433	-	4760
Instance 19	1698538	50274	-	5420
Instance 20	5519316	147325	-	-
Instance 21	14715064	-	-	-
Instance 22	18391055	-	-	-

### 5.4 Analysing the influence of the under-coverage soft-constraint

To further investigate the problem we performed additional experiments by simplifying the instances. We omitted all soft constraints except under-coverage (Equation 17). We wanted to investigate this constraint because it shows to have the highest weight in all instances, and as such contributed to the objective value significantly more than others.

Optiriss provides the option to experiment with the Linear maxSAT algorithm [4]. The Linear algorithm is an iterative upper bounding algorithm in which the maxSAT solver is repeatedly called and in each call clauses are added which constrain the objective value to be less than in the previous iteration. Therefore, this process is only repeated until the maxSAT solver reports unsatisfiable, in which case the previously calculated solution is the optimal one. The Linear algorithm is invoked in Optiriss by supplying the parameter -algorithm=1. As this algorithm is appropriate to be used in this scenario, below we report experiments using it.

Every feasible solution for the simplified instances is a feasible solution for the original problem as well, as removing soft constraints does not impact feasibility. In Table 5 we provide the results obtained after running experiments for one hour. We compare the performance of Optiriss with the Linear algorithm on the original and simplified instances. In the case of the simplified instances, we present the costs obtained after converting the solution to the original instance. We used the same cardinality constraint encoding as we did previously for Optiriss.

**Table 5** The results obtained by running Optiriss with the Linear maxSAT algorithm for one hour on simplified and original instances. For comparison purposes, we provide the corresponding solution for Optiriss from Table 4 and the best known solutions obtained by exact methods described in [9]. Optimal solutions are written in bold font.

Instance	Simplified-Linear	Linear	Optiriss - Table 4	Branch and Price [9]	Gurobi [9]
Instance 1	620	607	607	607	607
Instance 2	858	847	835	828	828
Instance 3	1050	1236	3475	1001	1001
Instance 4	1787	1859	3608	1716	1716
Instance 5	1534	2202	3645	1160	1143
Instance 6	2637	5763	6941	1952	1950
Instance 7	1625	6541	5421	1058	1056
Instance 8	2894	15105	7617	1308	1323
Instance 9	1991	13496	6394	439	439
Instance 10	6649	-	15350	4631	4631
Instance 11	6434	-	15420	3443	3443
Instance 12	22838	-	28598	4046	4040
Instance 13	70242	-	69203	-	3109
Instance 14	6634	-	9776	-	1280
Instance 15	24988	-	16506	-	4964
Instance 16	4867	18074	13026	3323	3233
Instance 17	14315	-	22073	-	5851
Instance 18	13143	18498	14433	-	4760

The results obtained in Table 5 are interesting for two reasons. Firstly, in most cases when a solution could be generated, the obtained results with the described technique with the simplified instances outperformed the previous maxSAT experiments even though less time has been allocated. Secondly, the simplification proved to be a very useful improvement for the Linear maxSAT algorithm. Instances 19-24 where not included in the table as no solution could be generated with either encoding technique using the Linear maxSAT algorithm.

These results indicate that the under-coverage constraint has a high influence on the objective value, at least for the Linear maxSAT algorithm. Because of this, leaving the solver all the time to focus on the under-coverage constraint showed to be valuable. Using this technique new unknown optimal results could not be found, but the results suggest the importance of the under-coverage constraint. Focusing only on the under-coverage constraint could also be applied to other solving techniques, like integer programming methods or local search.

#### 6 Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced, to our best knowledge, for the first time a partial weighted boolean maximum satisfiability model for a variant of the employee scheduling problem. We further generated maxSAT instances using four different cardinality encoding methods, all of them influencing the number of produced clauses and variables. Additionally, we compared the effects of the different cardinality encoding methods on two maxSAT solvers. We have shown that there is a need to experimentally select an efficient combination of cardinality encodings for each solver separately. A comparison between the two solvers could not point out a clear winner for all of the considered benchmark tests. While WPM3 performed better on smaller instances, Optiriss was able to produce better results for many of the larger instances.

Currently an exact approach based on integer programming provides better results than maxSAT for most of the considered instances. However, maxSAT could provide optimal solutions for two of the instances and obtained solutions for three very large instances, for which no solutions could be found by integer programming within one hour. Therefore, as nowadays different maxSAT solvers are available and their performance is consistently improving, exact maxSAT techniques could offer a good alternative for employee scheduling problems in the future.

Possible improvements and extensions could be subject of future work when working with the proposed model. It would be interesting to investigate if we can break symmetries in our model. Further, given the findings described in Section 5.4, developing a lexicographic optimization approach for Employee Scheduling might be valuable. In this approach, one would first optimize for the under-coverage constraint and then optimize the rest of the soft constraints. Apart from that, using the results of the simplified instances as a starting point for local search could be useful. Furthermore, a hybridization of maxSAT with heuristic techniques within the framework of very large neighbourhood search could be considered.

Acknowledgement. The work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P24814-N23 and the Vienna PhD School of Informatics.

## References

- Ansótegui, C., Didier, F., Gabàs, J.: Exploiting the structure of unsatisfiable cores in maxsat. In: Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2015, Buenos Aires, Argentina, July 25-31, 2015, pp. 283– 289 (2015)
- Asín, R., Nieuwenhuis, R., Oliveras, A., Rodríguez-Carbonell, E.: Cardinality networks and their applications. In: Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing - SAT 2009, 12th International Conference, SAT 2009, Swansea, UK, June 30 - July 3, 2009. Proceedings, pp. 167–180 (2009)
- 3. den Bergh, J.V., Beliën, J., Bruecker, P.D., Demeulemeester, E., Boeck, L.D.: Personnel scheduling: A literature review. European Journal of Operational Research (3), 367–385 (2013)

- 4. Berre, D.L., Parrain, A.: The sat4j library, release 2.2. JSAT (2-3), 59-6 (2010)
- Biere, A., Heule, M., van Maaren, H., Walsh, T. (eds.): Handbook of Satisfiability, Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications. IOS Press (2009)
- Bofill, M., Garcia, M., Suy, J., Villaret, M.: Maxsat-based scheduling of B2B meetings. In: Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming - 12th International Conference, CPAIOR 2015, Barcelona, Spain, May 18-22, 2015, Proceedings, pp. 65-73 (2015)
- 7. Burke, E.K., Curtois, T.: New approaches to nurse rostering benchmark instances. European Journal of Operational Research (1), 71–81 (2014)
- 8. Burke, E.K., Curtois, T., Post, G.F., Qu, R., Veltman, B.: A hybrid heuristic ordering and variable neighbourhood search for the nurse rostering problem. European Journal of Operational Research (2), 330–341 (2008)
- Curtois, T., Qu, R.: Computational results on new staff scheduling benchmark instances.
   Tech. rep., ASAP Research Group, School of Computer Science, University of Nottingham, NG8 1BB, Nottingham, UK (2014)
- 10. Demirovic, E., Musliu, N.: Modeling high school time tabling as partial weighted maxsat. Tech. rep. (2014)
- 11. Ernst, A.T., Jiang, H., Krishnamoorthy, M., Sier, D.: Staff scheduling and rostering: A review of applications, methods and models. European Journal of Operational Research (1), 3–27 (2004)
- Gurobi Optimization, I.: Gurobi optimizer reference manual (2015). URL http://www.gurobi.com
- Kahlert, L., Krüger, F., Manthey, N., Stephan, A.: Riss solver framework v5. 05. SAT-Race (2015)
- Martins, R., Manquinho, V.M., Lynce, I.: Open-wbo: A modular maxsat solver,. In: Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing - SAT 2014 - 17th International Conference, Held as Part of the Vienna Summer of Logic, VSL 2014, Vienna, Austria, July 14-17, 2014. Proceedings, pp. 438-445 (2014)
- Sinz, C.: Towards an optimal CNF encoding of boolean cardinality constraints. In: Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming - CP 2005, 11th International Conference, CP 2005, Sitges, Spain, October 1-5, 2005, Proceedings, pp. 827–831 (2005)